UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,639	03/22/2006	Pedro Vinals Calduch	P257151	2666	
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			EXAMINER		
			PUROL, SARAH L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/568,639	VINALS CALDUCH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sarah Purol	3637	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/10	2/08		
· ·		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>			
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayre, 1999 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		- - - - - -	
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,	
''/	The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	annile. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 2

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins et al. 2004/0160151. Collins et al. teach the device claimed (best seen in Figure 2) absent the plurality of compartments. To configure Collins et al. to hold a plurality of bottles for the purpose of storing multiple bottles would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Response to Remarks/Arguments

Applicant states

the limitations of the independent Claim 1 reciting "two adjacent first compartments, which are equal in length, are parallel and substantially run together with the same horizontal orientation of respective wider area and narrower area, the two first compartments being on a same first plane, and a third, inverted compartment on an elevated second plane elevated relative

to the first plane," are not disclosed or even suggested by Collins. In fact, Collins teaches away from the recited limitations by explaining with respect to Fig. 4 that when each bottle 75 is "situated in a corresponding set of opposing arcuate recesses 65-68," the sloping configuration of the beverage rack 40 allows each bottle 75 to be adequately displayed on its own level. See,

Collins, par. [0017].

The examiner does not concur that Collins teaches away from the claimed limitations and maintains that the Collins reference would not directly teach the claimed limitations (as in a 102 rejection) but that limitations are obvious in view of the teachings in Collins.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Purol whose telephone number is 571-272-6834.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday -Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai, can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sarah Purol/

Primary Examiner

AU 3637

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
10/568,639	VINALS CALDUCH ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Sarah Purol	3637			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20081222